

Action Agenda by Priority and Lead Agency

STRATEGY	ACTIONS	PARTNERSHIP ROLE	LEAD AGENCY	PARTNERS	Dependencies	Statutory requirement by PSP or others	Political interest/momentum	Urgency for itself or other action	Readiness for action: 1 - ongoing, 2 - in early stages, could go, 3 - needs scoping, 4 - needs conceptual thinking	Potential for stimulus package	NEP Part 2 \$	AA rank	Proposed work plan priority: 1- required/law; 2- important, moving, need to show progress; 3- needs scoping soon; 4 - in motion, be passive; 5- new, no action at this time	PSP mgt staff	PSP tracking or implementation staff		
C.2	3	Assist cities and counties in incorporating LID requirements for development and redevelopment into all stormwater codes.	PSP	Participate	Policy	Ecology, Local Gov't, CTED, WSDOT	None, but MN not sure	Yes, basically	High	High	1, 2 and 3	no	yes for performance standards	4	1	CT	Bruce
E.1	3	Develop specific benchmarks for outputs, intermediate outcomes, and environmental outcomes of the Action Agenda strategies, key suites of actions or individual actions against which we can measure and report progress.	PSP	Implement	Policy	All implementers	None	Yes	Medium	High	2, 3 and 4 depending	no	yes, could be part of SOS	NA	1	MN	
E.1	4	Develop a detailed work plan for near-term actions in the Action Agenda, identifying lead implementers, partners, funding source and amount, and timelines.	PSP	Implement	Policy	All implementers	Need intermediate outcomes, overall work plan	yes, implied	High	High for accountability	2 and 3.	no	no	NA	1	MN in part, JC in part	MBB
E.1	5	actions related to salmon recovery plans, state agency work programs, and projects funded by state grant or loan programs to include timelines, outputs, immediate outcomes, intermediate outcomes, and environmental outcomes, as well as reporting requirements.	PSP	Implement		All implementers	Part of E.1(4). Should not be a separate item	Yes, implied	High to medium	high for accountability	3	no	no	NA	1	LR	MBB
E.1	8	Develop an activity integration database to support the Action Agenda accountability where implementers will report on outcomes and use of funds.	PSP	Implement	Funding, Policy		Sweeny report	Yes, implied	high	high for accountability	2	no	yes	NA	1	JC, MN in part	MBB
E.1	10	Submit recommendations to the Legislature to better align funding and resources with the Action Agenda in the November 2009 State of the Sound report.	PSP	Fund	Funding, Policy		Part of SOS. Should not be a separate item	Yes	high	high	3	no	no	NA	1	JC in part, LR in part, MN in part	Need to define DSJ, MBB roles
E.1	11	Finalize the salmon recovery adaptive management plan as required by NOAA.	PSP	Fund	Funding	DFW, Ecology, NOAA, tribes, local jurisdictions, NWIFC, watershed leads	None	Yes for NOAA	medium	high	1	no	no	NA	1	Joe	DSJ?
E.1	12	Develop a system to identify and track actions that are inconsistent with the Action Agenda.	PSP	Implement	Policy		Activity database, criteria	Yes	medium to low	medium	4	no	no, could be part of SOS	NA	1	MN in part, JC in part	need to define MBB, DSJ roles
E.1	13	Develop and implement a Partner Program as specified in the legislation that created the agency.	PSP	Implement	Policy	Local jurisdictions	None. Should be part of adaptive mgt, not a separate item	Yes	medium to high	medium	4	no	no	NA	1	LR, MN?	DSJ
E.1	16	Conduct review and approval of the Action Agenda in early 2009, as required by the National Estuary Program.	PSP	Implement		EPA	None	Yes under NEP guidelines	High internally	High for money	1	no	no	NA	1	MN	Linda L

Action Agenda by Priority and Lead Agency

STRATEGY	ACTIONS	PARTNERSHIP ROLE	LEAD AGENCY	PARTNERS	Dependencies	Statutory requirement by PSP or others	Political interest/momentum	Urgency for itself or other action	Readiness for action: 1 - ongoing, 2 - in early stages, could go, 3 - needs scoping, 4 - needs conceptual thinking	Potential for stimulus package	NEP Part 2 \$	AA rank	Proposed work plan priority: 1- required/law; 2- important, moving, need to show progress; 3- needs scoping soon; 4 - in motion, be passive; 5- new, no action at this time	PSP mgt staff	PSP tracking or implementation staff
E.2	1	Implement	PSP	State Agencies, OFM	None	yes	high	high	1 and 2	no	no	NA	1	Jim	
E.2	3	Implement	Funding, Policy	State Agencies, OFM	None	yes	low to medium	low to medium	4	no	no	NA	1	Jim	
E.3	1	Facilitate	Funding	Entities conducting ecosystem monitoring	None	yes	medium	high for 2009	1	no	no	NA	1	MN	Scott
E.3	2	Implement	Convene	Washington Monitoring Forum, CMER, PSAMP, PS Monitoring Consortium, salmon recovery monitoring program; also government, academic, business, and NGO partners	None	yes, implied	high	high	3 and 4, started	no	no	NA	1	MN	Scott, Ken
E.3	5	Implement	Convene	Entities conducting ecosystem monitoring	None	Yes	medium for science, high for other	medium	2	no	yes	NA	1	MN	Scott for ecological, MBB for accountability
E.3	6	Implement	Convene	Science community (government, academic, business, NGO)	None	yes	medium to low	medium	2	no	yes	NA	1	MN	Mary
E.4	1	Implement			Work plan	Yes, implied	high	high	2	no	no	NA	1	Paul	
A.1	1	Implement	Convene	CLC, Quality Growth Alliance, CTED, DNR, Local Gov't, PSNERP, PSRC, WSDA, Canada	Watershed assessment info would help, but not critical to start, could start.	No	Generally low	none	3 or 4	no	no	4	2	CT or MN	TBD
A.1	3	Lead fund		Ecology, DFW, DNR, CTED, Local Gov't, Federal Gov't, tribes, PSNERP	None	No	Medium	High for allocation of money, protection projects	3	no	yes	1	2	CT or MN	

Action Agenda by Priority and Lead Agency

STRATEGY	ACTIONS	PARTNERSHIP ROLE	LEAD AGENCY	PARTNERS	Dependencies	Statutory requirement by PSP or others	Political interest/momentum	Urgency for itself or other action	Readiness for action: 1 - ongoing, 2 - in early stages, could go, 3 - needs scoping, 4 - needs conceptual thinking	Potential for stimulus package	NEP Part 2 \$	AA rank	Proposed work plan priority: 1- required/law; 2- important, moving, need to show progress; 3- needs scoping soon; 4 - in motion, be passive; 5- new, no action at this time	PSP mgt staff	PSP tracking or implementation staff	
A.5	4		Funding	PSP	Invasive Species Council and multiple agencies with invasive species responsibilities, Canada	None	No?	Medium	medium to high	1	no?	no	17	2	CT	
B.1	1	Lead fund	Funding	PSP	Watersheds, NMFS, WSG, Nearshore Partnership, RCO, SRFB, WCC, USFWS	None	yes, in part	High	High	1, 2, some 3	yes	no	1	2	Joe	
B.1	2	Lead fund	Funding	PSP	Varies by project	None	yes, if part of salmon recovery	High	High	1, 2, some 3	yes	no	3	2	Joe	
B.1	3	Lead fund	Funding	PSP	Varies by project	None. Maybe scoping in some cases	no, but yes if part of salmon plan	High in places	High to medium	1-4, depends on site	yes	no	8	2	Joe	
B.1	4	Lead fund	Funding	PSP	Varies by project	None	yes, if part of salmon recovery	High	medium to low, depends on site	1-4, depends on site	yes	no	9	2	Joe	
C.2	6	Implement		PSP	Ecology, Local Gov't, CTED, WSDOT	None	No	High	High, should show progress	3 and 4	yes	no	3	2	CT	Bruce
D.3	1	Implement		PSP	PSNERP	None	No	high to medium	High for restoration planning	2 and 3	yes	No	NA	2	CT, Joe, LR	high level
D.3	2		Policy	PSP	Planning groups	None	Yes, in part or intent	High	High for implementation for some groups, not all are equal	1, integration =3 and 4	No	Yes	NA	2	Joe	
D.3	3	Lead fund		PSP	Tribes	None	No	High by tribes	Medium to low	1 and 3	No	Yes	NA	2	CT for now	

Action Agenda by Priority and Lead Agency

STRATEGY	ACTIONS	PARTNERSHIP ROLE	LEAD AGENCY	PARTNERS	Dependencies	Statutory requirement by PSP or others	Political interest/momentum	Urgency for itself or other action	Readiness for action: 1 - ongoing, 2 - in early stages, could go, 3 - needs scoping, 4 - needs conceptual thinking	Potential for stimulus package	NEP Part 2 \$	AA rank	Proposed work plan priority: 1- required/law; 2- important, moving, need to show progress; 3- needs scoping soon; 4 - in motion, be passive; 5- new, no action at this time	PSP mgt staff	PSP tracking or implementation staff
D.3	5		PSP	Task Force	None	No	Medium	Medium to high for efficiency, may require much political capital	3	No	No	NA	2	Joe, LR?	Joe?
D.3	7		PSP	All parties	None. This is for PSP to continue outreach and work	Yes, implied	High	High for some actions	1 and 3	Sort of	No	NA	2	LR or PB or MEG.	
D.4	4	Convene	PSP	COE, NMFS, USFWS, Local Gov't, FEMA	None	Yes for some permitting agencies	High	High for restoration, growth issues	1 and 2	No	No	NA	2	MN, Joe	DSJ
D.4	6		PSP	Ecology, COE, Local Gov't	None, watershed characterizations would help	No	High	High for restoration	1	No	no	NA	2	CT	
E.1	6		PSP	All Gov't, Tribes, Educational Institutions	None	Yes, implied	medium	High for accountability	2 and 3	no	no	NA	2	JC	MBB
E.2	4	Participate	PSP	Federal and Local Gov't	None, work plan would help	no, but implied to some degree	medium	medium	3	no	no	NA	2	Jim, LR?	
E.2	5		PSP		None	no	high	high	1 and 2	yes	no	NA	2	Joe	
E.2	6		PSP	State Agencies, OFM	None	no, but implied	high to medium	medium	3	no	no	NA	2	Jim	
E.2	9		PSP	Federal and State Gov't	None. This is covered above and should not be a separate item	no	high	high	1	no	no	NA	2	CT	
E.2	10		PSP		None?	no	high	high	1 and 2	no	no	NA	2	CT	

### Action Agenda by Priority and Lead Agency

STRATEGY	ACTIONS	PARTNERSHIP ROLE	LEAD AGENCY	PARTNERS	Dependencies	Statutory requirement by PSP or others	Political interest/momentum	Urgency for itself or other action	Readiness for action: 1 - ongoing, 2 - in early stages, could go, 3 - needs scoping, 4 - needs conceptual thinking	Potential for stimulus package	NEP Part 2 \$	AA rank	Proposed work plan priority: 1- required/law; 2- important, moving, need to show progress; 3- needs scoping soon; 4 - in motion, be passive; 5- new, no action at this time	PSP mgt staff	PSP tracking or implementation staff		
E.3	3	Implement	Convene	Funding	PSP	Varies by project, but especially NWFSC, Biodiversity Council, and PS Nearshore Partnership	None, need focus for 2009. MN has	no	medium	medium	2	yes	yes	NA	2	MN	Mary, Scott
E.3	11	Lead fund	Convene	Funding	PSP	Science community (government, academic, business, NGO)	None. Is this the BSWP	no	low	low	4	no	yes	NA	2	MN	Scott, Mary
E.4	3	Implement			PSP	Gov't Agencies, NGO's	None, but bring focus	no	high	medium	1 for some	no	no	NA	2	Paul	Kristen
E.4	5	Implement			PSP		None	no, but implied	high	high	1	no	no	NA	2	Paul	
E.4	7		Convene		PSP	ECO Net Members	None	no	high to medium	medium	1 (done)	no	no	NA	2	Paul	Kristen
E.4	8	Implement			PSP	Citizens	None	no	high	high to medium	2	no	no	NA	2	Paul	Kristen
E.4	11	Implement			PSP	WSG, WSU Extension	None	no	High	High	1	no	no	NA	2	Paul	Kristen
A.2	7		Convene	Facilitate	PSP	Ecology, Local Gov't, Development interests	None	No	Low for 2009, could investigate for 2010 or later	Medium	4	no	no	6	3	CT, MEG	
E.1	14	Implement	Facilitate	Funding	PSP	NWIFC, tribes, DFW, Ecology, RCO, NOAA, EPA	None, maybe EPA data exchange	No	medium to low	medium to low	2?	no	no	NA	3	Jim for now	MBB for now
E.1	15	Fund	Convene	Facilitate	PSP	PSAMP, implementers of effectiveness studies and other monitoring actions	None. This is not a separate item, more of a concept	No	medium, depends on the data	medium?	4	no	no	NA	3	Jim for now	MBB, Scott
E.2	2			Policy	PSP		None	no	low	high	3 for 2010	no	no	NA	3	MEG	

Action Agenda by Priority and Lead Agency

STRATEGY	ACTIONS	PARTNERSHIP ROLE		LEAD AGENCY	PARTNERS	Dependencies	Statutory requirement by PSP or others	Political interest/momentum	Urgency for itself or other action	Readiness for action: 1 - ongoing, 2 - in early stages, could go, 3 - needs scoping, 4- needs conceptual thinking	Potential for stimulus package	NEP Part 2 \$	AA rank	Proposed work plan priority: 1- required/law; 2- important, moving, need to show progress; 3- needs scoping soon; 4 - in motion, be passive; 5- new, no action at this time	PSP mgt staff	PSP tracking or implementation staff	
E.2	8	Develop financial incentives and provide financial and technical assistance to local governments to develop high priority projects in the Action Agenda for funding with existing Department of Ecology and the Public Works Board programs.	Implement		PSP	Ecology, PWTF	None	no	high	medium to high depending on project	4	no	no	NA	3	LR?	
E.3	4	Design and implement studies to collect new information about: a) the effects of a nearshore restoration actions; b) watershed-wide pollution loading and effects of runoff; c) stressors affecting forage fish and pelagic food webs; and d) ecosystem services and socioeconomic indicators.	Lead fund	Funding	PSP	Varies by project	No	No	medium	medium to high, depending. For nearshore - high	3	no	yes	NA	3	MN	Scott, Mary
E.3	7	Identify research priorities and recommend topics for Partnership sponsored science in 2011-13 (e.g., for the next Biennial Science Work Plan).	Implement	Convene	PSP	Science community (government, academic, business, NGO)	None	Yes	low	Low for 2009	3	no	no	NA	3	MN	Scott, Mary
E.3	9	Develop processes for: a) soliciting science projects via competitive requests for proposals; b) conducting peer review of materials that form the science basis for Partnership decisions; and c) establishing a process for external peer review of the Partnership's science program.	Implement	Convene	PSP	Science community (government, academic, business, NGO)	None	no	a: low to medium; b: high for credibility; c: low	high for credibility	2.02	no	yes	NA	3	MN	Scott, Mary
E.4	2	Create a process to develop consistent, targeted, and scientifically based actions and messages for citizens.	Implement		PSP		none	no	high for LC	medium	2	no	no	NA	3	Paul	Kristen
E.4	12	Coordinate with the Pacific Northwest NOAA B-WET grant provider to increase the "Meaningful Watershed Education Experience" model for students in Puget Sound.		Participate	PSP	NOAA	None	no	medium to low	medium to low	3	no	no	NA	3	Paul	Kristen
E.4	13	Promote the use of and make Puget Sound related curriculum widely available to all teachers and schools.	Implement		PSP	Schools	None	no	medium to low	medium to low	3	no	no	NA	3	Paul	Kristen
E.4	14	Work with Partnership to create Puget Sound environmentally based student service projects.	Implement		PSP		None	no	medium to low	medium to low	3	no	no	NA	3	Paul	Kristen
C.2	5	Convene a group of regulating agencies, implementers with key funding responsibilities, and other stakeholders as appropriate to evaluate the technical and programmatic solutions for CSOs to meet overall program goals of improving water quality in fresh and marine water.		Facilitate, Convene	PSP	EPA, King County, City of Seattle	None	No, but sort of implied	High for some interestes	Medium to high	1	no	no	23	4	LR high level	

### Action Agenda by Priority and Lead Agency

STRATEGY	ACTIONS	PARTNERSHIP ROLE	LEAD AGENCY	PARTNERS	Dependencies	Statutory requirement by PSP or others	Political interest/momentum	Urgency for itself or other action	Readiness for action: 1 - ongoing, 2 - in early stages, could go, 3 - needs scoping, 4 - needs conceptual thinking	Potential for stimulus package	NEP Part 2 \$	AA rank	Proposed work plan priority: 1- required/law; 2- important, moving, need to show progress; 3- needs scoping soon; 4 - in motion, be passive; 5- new, no action at this time	PSP mgt staff	PSP tracking or implementation staff	
D.2	1	Once the recommendations of the Climate Change Study Groups are available, integrate and coordinate them with the Action Agenda.	Implement	PSP	CIG, Governor's Office, various gov't agencies, CTED, Canada	Recommendations need to be available (I think they are)	yes, in part or intent	medium	medium to low for 2009	4	No	no	NA	4	MN	
D.4	3	Convene a process for making recommendations to the Partnership about streamlining permitting processes for habitat restoration projects. Evaluate, and incorporate as appropriate into the Action Agenda, the recommendations in the Washington State Conservation Commission's 2008 conservation markets study for farmlands and forest landowners.	Convene	PSP	DFW, Ecology, COE, Local Gov't, Salmon Recovery Council, WCC	None	Yes for some permitting agencies	High in some sectors	Medium unless hanging up stimulus projects	3 and 4	No	No	NA	4	Joe or CT	
E.2	14	Develop and coordinate the organization to support implementation of the Partnership's science program, especially by convening working groups to organize the regional science community's participation.	Implement	PSP	Science community (government, academic, business, NGO)	None, maybe identify what these are	no	medium	Medium, depends on site	4	no?	no	NA	4	Jim	
E.3	8	Coordinate with science programs of state and federal agencies to better align with Partnership interests and contribute to Partnership science program needs.	Implement	PSP	Science community (government, academic, business, NGO)	None	no	low	low	4	no	yes	NA	4	MN	Scott
E.3	12	Conduct a pilot program with the Washington State Ferries to educate riders about the condition of Puget Sound and actions they can take to help.	Implement	PSP	WSDOT	None	no	high for a few people	medium to high for efficiency	3, 2 for some	no	no	NA	4	Paul	
A.1	2	Prepare a set of criteria to guide decisions for acquiring and protecting high-value, high-risk habitat.	Implement	PSP	Ecology, DFW, DNR, NMFS, USFWS, TNC, RCO, SRFB, Biodiversity Council	watershed assessments in part	No	Generally low; no one requesting	None	4	no	no	11	5	CT or MN	TBD
A.2	3	Convene a task force to develop a funding mechanism to rapidly acquire properties with high ecological value and imminent risk of conversion. Coordinate implementation of existing plans and programs that support the Action Agenda, and realign or discontinue plans and programs that conflict with the strategies and actions set forth in the Action Agenda.	Implement	PSP	TNC, CLC, TPL, NFWF, DFW, DNR, tribes, RCO, Biodiversity Council, Local Gov't	None	No	Low	Low	4	no	no	25	5	Jim, LR	
D.1	1	Watershed assessments will help do a better job with this task	Facilitate	PSP	various gov't agencies, NGO's	Watershed assessments will help do a better job with this task	No, could be implied in PSP statute	Low	Medium	4	no	no	NA	5	MN	

### Action Agenda by Priority and Lead Agency

STRATEGY	ACTIONS	PARTNERSHIP ROLE	LEAD AGENCY	PARTNERS	Dependencies	Statutory requirement by PSP or others	Political interest/momentum	Urgency for itself or other action	Readiness for action: 1 - ongoing, 2 - in early stages, could go, 3 - needs scoping, 4 - needs conceptual thinking	Potential for stimulus package	NEP Part 2 \$	AA rank	Proposed work plan priority: 1- required/law; 2- important, moving, need to show progress; 3- needs scoping soon; 4 - in motion, be passive; 5- new, no action at this time	PSP mgt staff	PSP tracking or implementation staff	
D.3	9		Policy	PSP	State and Federal Agencies, Federal Delegation	None	Yes	High	High	3 or 4	No	No	NA	5	MEG	
D.4	1	Implement		PSP	Federal, State and Local agencies, Canada	None	No, but statutory requirements are included	medium to low	medium to low for 2009	4	No	No	NA	5	CT	
D.5	1	Convene		PSP	Ecology, COE, DFW, Local Gov't, Health Districts, WSDA, WCC	None	yes for permitting agencies	Low	medium to low for 2009	4	No	no	NA	5	CT	
D.5	5	Implement		PSP	Development Interests, Local Gov't, WCC	None	No	Low	Low for 2009	4	no	no	NA	5	CT	
E.2	11	Implement		PSP		None, maybe DOE study	no	medium?	medium to high	3 at best, 4	no	no	NA	5	Jim	
E.2	12	Implement		PSP		None, maybe research	no	low	low	4	no	no	NA	5	Jim	
E.2	13	Implement		PSP	Local Gov't, Utilities	None, maybe research	no	low	low	4	no	no	NA	5	Jim?, CT	Bruce
E.3	10	Implement	Convene	PSP	Science community (government, academic, business, NGO)	None	no	low	low to medium	4	no	yes	NA	5	MN	Scott, Mary

Action Agenda by Priority and Lead Agency

STRATEGY	ACTIONS	PARTNERSHIP ROLE	LEAD AGENCY	PARTNERS	Dependencies	Statutory requirement by PSP or others	Political interest/momentum	Urgency for itself or other action	Readiness for action: 1 - ongoing, 2 - in early stages, could go, 3 - needs scoping, 4 - needs conceptual thinking	Potential for stimulus package	NEP Part 2 \$	AA rank	Proposed work plan priority: 1- required/law; 2- important, moving, need to show progress; 3- needs scoping soon; 4 - in motion, be passive; 5- new, no action at this time	PSP mgt staff	PSP tracking or implementation staff	
E.4	4	Work with the Leadership Council to explore establishing a Public Education Panel to help guide the public communications, outreach and education mission of the Puget Sound Partnership and its supporting entities (Leadership Council, Ecosystem Coordination Board, and Science Panel).	Implement	PSP	None	no	medium to low	medium to low	3	no	no	NA	5	Paul		
E.4	9	Increase training for education and outreach providers in up-to-date tools and techniques such as community-based social marketing, use of new technologies, and program evaluation and assessment.	Implement	PSP	WSG, WSU Extension	None	no	medium to low	low	3	no	no	NA	5	Paul	Kristen
E.4	10	Develop and implement a coordinated citizen science program.	Implement	PSP	WSG, WSU Extension	None	no	medium to high in some sectors	medium	3	no	no	NA	5	Paul	Kristen
E.1	1	Clarify and document roles of the Leadership Council, Ecosystem Coordination Board, Science Panel, and Partnership staff.	Implement	PSP	Legislature Council and Board Members, Staff	None. This is part of performance mgt, should not be called out separately	no, implied	medium	high to medium	4	no	yes, could be	NA	1	MN	
E.1	2	Revise Action Agenda near-term actions as funding decisions are made and maintain an accurate list of funded and unfunded actions.	Implement	PSP	Staff	Legislature decision, Stimulus package, work plan	Yes, implied	High	High	3	No	yes, could be part of SOS	NA	1	MN	
E.1	7	Convene a performance management/accountability working group of local experts and implementers to design the accountability system, drawing on examples from Baystat, GMAP, and the Association of Government Accountants standards for performance reporting and others.	Implement	PSP	Gov't and Non-Gov't experts	None. This is not a separate item from accountability. Should be rolled in with other things	No	high	high for accountability	1	no	no	NA	1	JC, MN in part	MBB
E.1	9	Develop a schedule and process to update the near-term actions, the work plan, and revise the Action Agenda strategies as necessary.	Implement	PSP	Implementers of actions	Part of Adaptive mgt plan. Should not be a separate item	Yes, implied	medium	medium	3	no	could be part of SOS development	NA	1	MN	DSJ
E.2	7	For state agency grant programs, advocate for changes to policies and priorities of the Public Works Trust Fund, Salmon Recovery Funding Board, Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program, and other state grant and loan programs, to encourage consistency with Action Agenda goals.	Funding, Policy	PSP	State Agencies, OFM	None	no, but implied	medium	medium	3 and 4	no	no	NA	1	Jim	